In Compliance with 35 U.S.C. § 290 and/or 15 U.S.C. § 1116 you are hereby advised that a court action has been

Southern District of Florida

DEFENDANT

U.S. DISTRICT COURT

Mail Stop 8

Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

d Trademarks or Patents (☐ the patent action involves 35 U S C 4 292.)

filed in the U.S. District Court

PLAINTIFE

REPORT ON THE

FILING OR DETERMINATION OF AN

ACTION REGARDING A PATENT OR

TRADEMARK

Southern District of Florida

on the following

Enpat, Inc	٤	Adverture Air, Inc.				
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK				
1 6,328,260 BI	12 11 2011	Wing Spar Modification Kit				
2						
1						
4						
5						
DATE INCLUDED	In the above—entitled case, the following included BY		k(s) have been inclu	Other Pleading		
PATENT OR TRADEMARK NO	DATE OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK	HOLDE	HOLDER OF PATENT OR TRADEMARK			
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
to the ele-	ove-entitled case, the following decis	non has been rendered or	independ issued.			
	without Particles.	Lase .	- 01000			
Distri seed	an loan fraguesas		1/27/ 2011	DE#7		

- (3) Deeming this to be an "exceptional" case within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. § 285, entitling Plaintiff Enpat to an award of its reasonable attorney fees, expenses and costs in this action;
- (4) Awarding compensatory damages based on reasonable royalties, lost profits, reduced profits, prejudgment interest, and/or for any other available damages based on any form of recoverable economic injury sustained by Plaintiff Enpat as a result of Defendant Adventure Air, Inc.'s infringement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 8284;
- (5) Awarding permanent injunctive relief enjoining the use of any instrumentality that infringes any of the claims of the '260 patent pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §283;
- (6) Awarding Plaintiff Enpat treble damages pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §284:
- Awarding Plaintiff Enpat costs and attorneys' fees pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 8285: and
- (8) Awarding Plaintiff Enpat such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted.

DATED this 8th day of November, 2010.

BY: __s/Kelly G. Swartz/ Kelly G. Swartz/ Trial Counsel Florida Bar No. 0057563 Robert A. Lynch Florida Bar No. 0026459 Hayworth, Chaney, & Thomas P.A. 202. N. Harbor Criy Blvd., Suite 300 Melbourne, Florida 32935 Facsimite: (321) 253-2346 r/phor/@hclaw.com kswartz@hellaw.com kswartz@hellaw.com

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff, Enpat, Inc. hereby demands a trial by jury on all issues so triable.

DATED this 8th day of November, 2010.

BY: s/Kelly G. Swartz Kelly G. Swartz, Trial Counsel Florida Bar No. 0057563 Robert A. Lynch Florida Bar No. 002459 Hayworth, Chaney, & Thomas P.A. 202. N. Harbor City Blvd, Suite 300 Melbourne, Florida 32935 Phone: (321) 253-3300 Facsimile: (321) 253-2546 rlynch@hetlaw.com kwartz/@hetlaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Enpat, Inc.

5.15.44 (Bar 2/08)		CIVIL CO	VER	SHEET				
The IS 44 crysl cover sheet and to by local rules of court. This for the civil docket sheet. (\$4.6 to	he information contained be n, approved by the Judicial straucitons on the Reve	cron nember replace nor Conference of the Units (ASE OF THE FORM.)	suppleme d States NOT	int the filting and service of in September 1974, is requ TCE: Attorneys MUS	picedings or other pupers as r used for the use of the Clerk o T Jadicate All Re-filed C	equired by law, except as provide (Court for the purpose of initialist cases Below.		
L (a) PLAINTIFFS				DEFENDANTS				
Enpat, Inc., a Florida Corporation				Adventure Air, Inc.				
(b) County of Residence of First Lored Plannett Brevard (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTER CASES)				County of Repidence of First Listed Defendant ON U.S. PLACETIFF CASES DINEY;				
(c) Allamay's (Fen Name, Address and Telephon, Number)				NOTE IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT				
Kelly G. Swartz, Haywort City Blvd., Ste. 300; Melb	h, Chancy & Thomas,	P.A.; 202 N. Harb 1) 253-3300	or	Amorneys of Keepers	WOLVED			
(e) Check County Where Actio	n Arose O MIAN'S DADE	D NONROE D BE	DEAM	D PALM BEACH D MA	STON O ST LUCIE O INDI	AN RIVER OF DERECHOUSE HORLANDS		
II. BASIS OF JURISD	ICTION (Place or "A")	in One Bass Only)			RINCIPAL PARTIES	S(Place an "X" in One Ben for Places		
CL US Covernos	AD 3 Federal Opcorios			(For Diversity Cases 0 kby)	TF DET	and One Box for Defendants		
Placed	(U.S. Gavanness)	Nate Purp)	Circ	es of This Saw D	1 O I Incorporated or P of Business In Th	rincipal Place D 4 D 4		
D 2 US Government	2 4 Discrein		Cra	en of Another State D	2 C 2 Recognized and of Beautices in	Nincipal Place D 3 D 3 Another State		
	Godiese Citirenski	ip of Parkes in Dom (III)		cia or Subject of a D	5 Ø 3 Femige Nicklin	0 + 0 +		
IV. NATURE OF SUIT	(Photosa TAT in One Sex O	eta)		Regardenso				
CONTRACT	TO	RTS	FOR	FEITUREPENALTY	BANKRUFTCY	OTHER STATUTES		
3 Hilliannania	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJU	RY O	ito Agreektora	Cl. 422 Appeni 76 HISC 158	D 400 State Responsances		
3 120 Manne	CI 312 Auption	O 362 Personal Injury		20 Other Food & Diag	Cl 423 Withdrawal	D 410 Artists		
O DENIKEAR	D 315 Aupline Product	Med Maharif	. 0	25 Drug Related Scenare	28 USC 197	D 439 George and Burking		
3 144 Negotable Ingrances	C 339 Aucoh, Léci &	2 165 Farmanut lajory Francisci Laddel		of Property 21 USC 881	PROPERTY RICHTS	D 459 Call Manufactor		
2 152 Security of Overpayment A Palaymont of Information	O 328 Aucoh, Libel & Sheder	Cl. 168 Askerts Petra		142 S. R. & Track	CI XII Conventos	O All Parkment informers and		
2 III Nobian Ad	D 336 Foderal Employers	Injury Product		50 Artino Rega	State Property	Corrept Organizations		
2 132 Receivery of Defaulted	Latek	Latelya	0.1	199 Occupational	C 846 Trademask	D 489 Commer Cody		
Steden Losss	O 349 Hotel	PERSONAL PROPE	ETY	SaferyStaate		D 459 Cable Ski TV		
(Exc) Veterrel	C 345 Manner Product	C 339 Other Freed		NO Criter		2 516 Sukcine Server		
2 133 Receiving of Occupanion.	hability	O 311 Truth in Linder	٠ ا	LARDS 100 Feir Labor Standards	SOCIAL SECURITY	2 110 Securitor Commadency		
of Veteran's Benefits (2) 160 Sanokholden' Suits	O 330 Near Vehick	7 192 Other Personal Property Demog		Art	2 162 Block Lune (923)	D #15 Customer Children		
O 100 Cohes Casteres	Product Labour	C 183 Property Danie	. la :	20 Labor Maint Relations	O 193 DIACIDIAN INDIVISI	12 USC 1410		
C 192 Carried Product Copyliny	D 160 Other Personal	Freder Laber	15	30 Laber Mgmi Kepatong	3 864 SSIQ Tels XVI	D 890 Other Statutory Action		
☐ 196 Franchise	Impety			A Disclosure Aet	3 503 NSI (495(g))	CO 191 Agraphowi Azta		
MEAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIN	D SHE	140 Railway Labor Act	PEDERAL TAX SUITA	D 892 Economic Stabelization A		
O 216 Lend Condemnation	D 441 V408g	C 110 Madera to Vt.		190 Cither Enter Entgrison	D STETEMENT & PRINCIPLE C	D 59) Extraoment Microry		
O 239 Forttloam O 239 Rent Lesse & Fineimen!	D 442 Employment D 443 Housing!	Matera Corpor	0 :	th) Keepl Rai les Society	or Defendant O 871 IRS Third Perty	D 154 Energy Albertice Aut		
2 246 Tests to Lead	Arronmodulares	O Di General	- 1 '		26 USC 7669			
3 245 Test Product Liebskry	D 444 Welling	Cl 535 Death Freelty		PAMICIATION	1	D 996 Appeal of Fee Determinate Linder Equal Access to Teater		
CO 200 All Other Real Property	D 643 Amer alDisabilities Employment	3 340 Mandenus & C		162 Maturalizarios		Contract Action in 1889		
		T THE CALL STATE						
	D 448 Other Covil Rubin	O 111 Presen Canadrie	- 12.3	Demote the Other Inningfalon		5 910 Commissionality of State		
et I Original CT 2 R	X = Over Box Only) improved from	Re-filed- []	4 Ren		farred from @ 6 Multidis	Appeal to Distri erks (2) 7 Judge from Magastrate full attention		
Proceeding St	ate Court	a) Re-filed Case C		pened (speci	ed Cases 27 YES (7 NO			
VI. RELATED/RE-FII CASE(S).	.ED (See currections second page)	JUDGE See Attack			DOCKET NUMBER S			
		atate under which you	re filing	and Write a Brief Stateme	nt of Cause (Do not cite jur	isdictional statutes unless		
VII. CAUSE OF ACTI	ON 35 USC 271; pat	ent infringement						
	LENGTH OF TRIAL		ated (for I	ooth sides to try critire cas	0)			
VIII. REQUESTED IN		IS A CLASS ACTIO		EMAND S		v if demanded in complaint		
COMPLAINT:	UNDERFROP	23			JURY DEMAND			
ABOVE INFORMATION IS	TRUE & CORRECT TO	SIGNATURE OF	TOTAL	V OF RECORD	DATE			

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA

ENPAT, INC.,
a Florida Corporation,
v.
Adventure Air, Inc.,
a Florida Corporation,
Defendant.
Defendant.

| Case No.:
| Case No.:
| PLAINTIFF ENPAT, INC.'s
| COMPLAINT AND
| DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
| DIVINCTIVE RELIEF
| SOUGHT

Plaintiff, Enpat, Inc. brings this action for injunctive relief and for the recovery of damages and attorney's fees and costs arising from infringement of United States Patent No. 6,328,260 ("the '260 patent") against Defendant Adventure Air, Inc., and alleges as grounds therefore the following:

SUBJECT MATTER JURISDICTION

 The claims alleged below are brought under the Patent Laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. §1 et seq. This Court has original and exclusive jurisdiction over these claims pursuant to 28 U.S.C. \$1338(a).

PARTIES

- Plaintiff Enpat, Inc. ("Enpat") is a corporation duly organized and existing under the laws of the State of Florida, with its corporate headquarters and principal place of business at 610 Baytree Drive. Melbourne. FL 32940.
- Defendant Adventure Air, Inc. is, on information and belief, a Florida corporation with a principle place of business at 1614 Assembly Point Drive. Sebring, Florida.

PERSONAL JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- The Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendant Adventure Air, Inc. and subject matter jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1338(a).
- Venue is proper in the Southern District of Florida pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§1391(b).

GENERAL ALLEGATONS

- Lake Amphibious Seaplanes ("Lake Aircraft") are single engine boat-hulled pusher-propeller amphibian aircraft.
- On or around October 6, 1999, the Federal Aviation Administration ("FAA") published a notice of proposed rulemaking ("NPRM") regarding Lake Aircraft. See 64 FR 54234.
- The NPRM would have required every owner of a Lake Aircraft to remove the wings from the aircraft, inspect for cracks in the wing spar caps and doublers, and repair any cracks as necessary.
- The anticipated costs for compliance with the NPRM would have been approximately \$40,000.00 or more per airplane.
- 10. In response to the NPRM, non-party Aerofab, Inc. ("Aerofab") developed a wing spar modification kit that could be installed on Lake Aircraft to address the problem identified in the NPRM while avoiding the cost, expense, and risk associated with removing the aircraft wings.
- Acrofab sought and obtained FAA approval of the wing spar modification kit.

- Aerofab developed and obtained approval of the wing spar modification kit at its own significant expense.
- 13. On or around June 20, 2000, the FAA issued an Airworthiness Directive (AD) requiring owners of all affected aircraft to install the wing spar modification kit developed by Aerofab.
- The inventors of the wing spar modification kit filed for patent protection under the Patent Laws of the United States on October 25, 2000.
- 15. Upon information and belief, non-party JCM Aerodesign Limited ("JCM") manufactured or distributed a wing spar modification kit ("Knock-Off Kit") that was a copy of the Aerofab wing spar modification kit.
- JCM copied Aerofab's approved wing spar modification kit.
- Upon information and belief, JCM obtained FAA
 approval to use the Knock-Off Kit as an alternative method of
 compliance with the AD.

- 18. On December 11, 2001, the '260 patent, entitled "Wing Spar Modification Kit" was granted to inventors Jack M. Tarbox and Phillip J. Baker, the inventors of the Aerofab wing spar modification kit. A copy of the '260 patent is attached as Exhibit "A", and incorporated herein by reference.
- 19. In general, the claims of the '260 patent relate to a kit to be installed on a Lake Aircraft to reduce or prevent cracking in the wing spars.
- 20. Subsequent to issuance of the '260 patent, all right, title and interest in and to the '260 patent were assigned to Revo, Inc., a New Hampshire corporation.
- 21. Revo, Inc. then assigned all right, title and interest in and to the '260 patent to Plaintiff Enpat, which is now the owner of all right, title and interest in and to the '260 patent including the right to recover for past infringement.
- Plaintiff Enpat is an active licensor and enforcer of the '260 patent, having granted licenses thereto.

- On or around, April 28, 2001 a Knock-Off Kit was installed on a LA4/200 aircraft which is now owned by Defendant Adventure Air. Inc.. See Exhibit B.
- Upon information and belief, Defendant Adventure
 Air, Inc. uses, in the Southern District of Florida, one or more airplanes that have Knock-Off Kits installed.
- The Knock-Off Kit infringes one or more claims of the '260 natent.
- 26. The '260 patent has completed a reexamination proceeding with each and every claim remaining valid, enforceable, and unchanged.
- Defendant Adventure Air, Inc.'s use of the aircraft is a direct violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271 because, upon information and belief, it contains the Knock-Off Kit.
- Defendant Adventure Air, Inc. is acting without authorization or license from Plaintiff Enpat or any prior owner of the patent.
- Defendant Adventure Air, Inc.'s infringing activities
 have caused Plaintiff Enpat a compensable injury and are likely to

cause irreparable injury to Plaintiff Enpat unless Defendant

Adventure Air, Inc.'s infringement is enjoined.

- Defendant Adventure Air, Inc. is willfully infringing the '260 patent.
- Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 282, the '260 patent enjoys a presumption of validity.

COUNT ONE

PATENT INFRINGEMENT OF U.S. PATENT NO. 6,328,260 UNDER 35 U.S.C. §271(a)

- Paragraphs one (1) through thirty-one (31) are re-alleged and incorporated as if fully set forth herein.
- 33. Defendant Adventure Air, Inc. has used, and will continue to use, in this judicial district and elsewhere throughout the United States, the Knock-Off Kit that infringes one or more claims of the '260 patent.
- Defendant Adventure Air, Inc.'s Knock-Off Kit infringes one or more of the claims of the '260 patent.

- By using the Knock-Off Kit, Defendant Adventure
 Air, Inc. has directly infringed, and will continue to directly infringe, one or more claims of the '260 patent under 35 U.S.C. 8271(a).
- Defendant Adventure Air, Inc.'s infringement of the
 '260 patent has been and continues to be willful and deliberate.
- 37. As a direct and proximate consequence of the acts and practices of Defendant Adventure Air, Inc., Plaintiff Enpat has been, is being, and will continue to be injured in its business and property rights, and has suffered, is suffering, and will continue to suffer injury and damages for which it is entitled to relief under 35 U.S.C. \$254.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Enpat prays for the entry of a judgment from this Court:

- Declaring, pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 271, that Defendant Adventure Air, Inc. has directly infringed one or more of the claims of the '260 patent;
- Declaring that Defendant Adventure Air, Inc. has willfully infringed one or more claims of the '260 patent;